

# Immunologically high-risk kidney transplantation - the role of desensitization protocols

Milica Kravljaca

**Clinic of Nephrology University Clinical Center of Serbia** 

**Faculty of Medicine University of Belgrade** 



### What are the key challenges in kidney transplantation today?

- Shortage of organs worldwide
- Improving long-term graft survival
- Searching for the most appropriate graft for each recipient
- Optimizing the ischemia time
- Minimizing the impact of surgery and subsequent immunosuppressive therapy
- Transplantation of highly sensitized patients

# **Definition of sensitization**

HLA antibodies are termed donor-specific (DSA) if they recognize HLA proteins found in the allograft, or non-DSA if the HLA antigens are not present on the transplanted organ



- **Development of antibodies to the human leukocyte antigens (HLA) HLA antibodies** (prior to or after transplantation)
  - associated with transplant rejection, graft dysfunction and poor overall survival
  - HLA sensitization can result from any exposure to HLA (previous blood transfusions, pregnancies or transplants)

The strongest connection is with a history of prior transplantation (43 of 75 candidates for re-transplantation (57%) were sensitized) \*

Abbes S et al. Ther Apher Dial. 2017; 21(5): 441–450. \* Duquesnoy RJ, et al. Transplant immunology. 2008;18(4):352.

# **Detection of HLA antibodies – measurement the degree of sensitization**

### HLA incompatible transplantation (HLAi) is defined by a positive CDC or FCM crossmatch.



- CDC crossmatch detects only complementactivating HLA-antibodies
- Flow Cytometric crossmatch (FCM) detects the non-complement fixing IgG subclasses (IgG2, IgG4)

\* antibodies detected in FCM - more a <u>risk factor</u> than a contra-indication for transplantation

# Single antigen beads (SAB) - Luminex

- More sensitive than CDC and FCM for detecting HLA antibodies and DSA
- The degree of antibody is expressed as mean fluorescence intensity (MFI)
- Significant MFI of 1,000–1,500 (there is no general agreement on this value)

vPRA (virtual PRA) cPRA (calculated PRA) cRF (calculated reaction frequency)

estimate the chance that a patient has HLA antibodies reactive with a donor from the actual

organ donor population

Pandey P, et al. J Immunoassay Immunochemistry.2021;42:300–13. Huber L, et al. Transpl Int. 2015;28:710–9. Cecka JM.. Am J Transpl.2010;10:26–9.

### **Improvement in transplantation and technology**

- The improvement of techniques to detect anti-HLA antibodies in recipients waiting for kidney transplantation has allowed us to detect a larger number of sensitized patients.
- In Spain in a period 2010-2015 number of recipients waiting for kidney with PRA >75% increased from 20% to 50%.
- With the growing number of candidates for retransplantation, 35% of patients awaiting a renal transplant are sensitized.

Heidt S, et al. Kidney Int. 2018;93:491–500. UNOS. United Network for Organ Sharing. http://www.unos.org. Updated 2017.



Algorithm of options for a highly sensitized transplant candidate

**European Society of Organ Transplantation (ESOT) working group, Transplant International 2022.** 

# **United Network of Organ Sharing (UNOS)**

- implemented a new deceased donor kidney allocation system (KAS) in 2014 in the United States
- increased the allocation priority for sensitized candidates on waiting list
- patients with a cPRA 80-84% received 2.5 allocation points
- <u>patients with a cPRA ≥ 99.5% received 202 allocation points</u>

Reports have shown that it has been very effective for highly sensitized patients (≥99.5% cPRA), but very highly sensitized patients (ex. >99.9%) continue to be transplanted at a low rate.

Zhou S, Massie AB, Luo X, et al. Am J Transplant. 2018;18:1415-1423. Stewart DE, et al.Am J Transplant. 2016;16:1834-1847.

Schinstock CA, et al. Clin Transpl. 2019; 33:e13751

USA, Waiting list on June 1, 2016.

Sensitized candidates (cPRA  $\ge$  80%) were younger, more likely female, more likely to be on dialysis, on dialysis for a longer period of time, and more likely to have had a prior transplant than candidates with a cPRA < 80% (*p* < 0.001).

#### **Outcomes of the "100%" cPRA candidate**

- 5.5% (3233) candidates on the active list had a cPRA of 100%
  - 16.3% received a transplant during 1-year follow-up
  - 6.6% were removed from the waitlist
  - 4.3% died
  - 17.1% were made temporarily inactive

Are the desensitization protocols needed today (in the era of kidney exchange programs)?



Despite having a high priority on waiting list, highly sensitized patients rarely receive a kidney transplant against which they do not have DSAs and consequently require transplantation across the HLA barrier.

**Studies' suggest that:** 

- Outcomes of DSA-positive transplants are comparable to DSA negative patients
- Patients who receive an HLA incompatible kidney transplant after desensitization have an improved survival compared with comparable candidates who remain on dialysis

Heidt S, et al. Transpl Immunol 2015; 33:51. Orandi BJ, et al. Am J Transplant. 2014;14:1573-1580. Schinstock CA, et al. Transplantation. 2017;101:2429-2439. Orandi BJ, et al. N Engl J Med. 2016;375:288-289. Are the desensitization protocols needed today (in the era of kidney exchange programs)?

- candidates with a cPRA > 99.9% may benefit from desensitization, take into account
  - blood type (B or O)
  - wait-list mortality
  - potential removal from the list
  - candidate age
- candidates with an approved HLA incompatible living donor, desensitization is a reasonable because it offers
  - the benefits of getting a living donor transplant
  - an avoidance of wait-list morbidity and mortality
  - dialysis can be avoided



GUIDELINES published: 10 August 2022 doi: 10.3389/ti.2022.10511

Check for

European Guideline for the Management of Kidney Transplant Patients With HLA Antibodies: By the European Society for Organ Transplantation Working Group

Nizam Mamode<sup>1</sup>\*, Oriol Bestard<sup>2</sup>, Frans Claas<sup>3,4</sup>, Lucrezia Furian<sup>5</sup>, Siân Griffin<sup>6</sup>, Christophe Legendre<sup>7</sup>, Liset Pengel<sup>8</sup> and Maarten Naesens<sup>9</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Transplantation, Guys Hospital, London, United Kingdom, <sup>2</sup>Department of Nephrology and Kidney Transplantation, Vall d'Hebrón University Hospital, Barcelona, Spain, <sup>3</sup>Department of Immunology, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, Netherlands, <sup>4</sup>Department of Immunology, University of Antwerp, Antwerp, Belgium, <sup>5</sup>Kidney and Pancreas Transplantation Unit, Department of SurgicalGastroenterological and Oncological Sciences, University Hospital of Padua, Padua, Italy, <sup>6</sup>Department of Nephrology, University Hospital of Wales, Cardliff, United Kingdom, <sup>7</sup>Department of Nephrology and Adult Kidney Transplantation, Hôpital Necker and Université de Paris, Paris, France, <sup>8</sup>Centre for Evidence in Transplantation, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom, <sup>9</sup>Department of Microbiology, Immunology and Transplantation, KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium The most efficacious desensitization strategy is to start with rounds of plasma exchanges/immunoadsorption together with IVIG or B-cell depletion with anti-CD20 monoclonal antibodies (1C).

#### **STANDARD OF CARE**

• plasmapheresis or immunoadsorption,

followed by IVIg (low- dose, 100 mg/kg, or high- dose, 2 g/kg)

Montgomery, R. A. & Zachary, A. Pediatr. Transplant. 2004; 8, 535–542. Bohmig, G. A. et al. Am. J. Transplant.. 2007; 7, 117–121.

#### • anti-CD20 antibodies (Rituximab) and high-dose IVIgs (2 g/kg over 2–4 days)

\*anti- CD20 alone does not have proven efficacy for reducing DSA \*new anti-CD20 monoclonal antibodies (such as ocrelizumab or obinutuzumab) may be more efficient

Vo AA, Lukovsky M,, et al. N Engl J Med. 2008; 359:242–51.

#### • proteasome inhibitors (decrease the synthesis of proteins (DSAs)) – bortezomib, carfilzomib, ixazomib

\*monotherapy is ineffective, in combination with standard of-care antibody reduction therapy
\*bortezomib is indicated for multiple myeloma, the mechanism of action of these drugs is the disruption of
the normal intracellular protein degradation process

Woodle ES, et al. Am J Transpl. 2015; 15:101–18. Everly, M. J. et al. Transplantation. 2008; 86, 1754–1761.

anti-C5 monoclonal antibody (Eculizumab)

\* DSAs not fixing the complement

Marks WH, et al. Am J Transpl. 2019; 19:2876–88.

• C1-inhibitor

- subject of clinical trials (theoretical advantage compared with eculizumab is that C1-inhibitor blocks the complement pathway more proximally and therefore prevents the formation of anaphylatoxins and activation of innate immunity)

Berger M, et al. Transplantation. 2019; 103:1763-75.

• cysteine protease (IG endopeptidase, Ides, Imlifidase and Idefirix®)

\*Imlifidase is currently the only approved therapy for use in the EU for desensitization treatment of highly sensitized adult kidney transplant patients with a positive crossmatch against an available deceased donor. It cleaves all IgGs without regard to their specificity, with an immediate action that lasts around 5–7 days; this drug cannot be re-dosed due to immunogenicity

Kjellman C, et al. Am J Transpl. 2021; 21:3907–18.

anti-IL6 receptor monoclonal antibody (Tocilizumab)

\*has been successfully used in combination with high- dose IVIg and anti- CD20 to desensitize patients who failed standard of-care desensitization therapy

• Belimumab (anti BAFF)

Choi J, et al. Am J Transpl. 2017; 17:2381–9. Banham GD, et al. The Lancet. 2018; 391: 2619–30.

# Summarized



#### **Targeting different cells or functions**

Salvadori M. Transplantology 2023; 4(3), 139-150

# **Timing of Desensitization**

#### -used in Austria

- -immunoadsorption followed with ATG and/or Rituximab
- -graft survival rates at 3 years are similar in CDCXM-positive and negative patients

- 1. the immediate pre-transplant desensitization
- 2. the post-transplant desensitization
- used at the Necker Hospital in Paris (started in 2002)
- induction therapy (ATG), followed by high-dose IVIg that is repeated every 3 weeks for a
- total of four courses; 5-10 plasmapheresis; at the end of plasmapheresis, 1 or 2 Rituximab
- 95 patients, MFI > 3000, 7-year allograft survival rate was 78%

Schwaiger, E et al.Nephrol. Dial. Transplant. 2016; 31, 1342–1351. Amrouche, L et al. Transplantation 2017; 101, 2440–2448.

# **Candidates for Desensitization**

• Study suggests that even a mild reduction in cPRA would markedly increase the rate of transplantation.

All kidney transplant candidates with CPRA > 99.9%

Kidney transplant candidates with CPRA > 98% with HLA incompatible approved living donor who has not received a transplant after 1 y in KPD

Kidney transplant candidates with CPRA > 98% and >5 y of waiting time

Schinstock CA, et al. Clin Transpl. 2019; 33:e13751

A major advance in the field of kidney transplantation will be a method for permanent reduction of HLA antibodies!

# **THANK YOU FOR THE ATTENTION!**

